

Examination of accused Dhananjoy Chatterjee,
under Section 313, Cr. P. C., before the Additional
Sessions Judge, 2nd Court, Alipore, 24 Pgs (S), 81
dated 14.5.91.

Orl.P.No. 84

FORM OF RECORDING EXAMINATION OF ACCUSED
EXAMINATION OF ACCUSED PERSON

(Section 364 of the Criminal Procedure Code)

The examination of Accd. Dhananjoy Chatterjee
aged about 26 years, taken before me Sri R.N.Kali,
Addl. Dist. Judge 2nd Court, Alipore (S) on the 14th
day of May 1991 in the language, interpreted by

My name is Accd. Dhananjoy Chatterjee
My father's name is Banshi Dhar Chatterjee
I am by Religion Hindu and by occupation
My home is at Mauza Police Station Bankura
District Bankura I reside at Ulberia

Q.1. You have heard the testimonies of the prosecution
witnesses and you have also seen the incriminating
documents filed by the prosecution against you and have
understood their contents. Now, I shall put a few
questions to you. You are at liberty to give replies
thereto or not. However, your reply or any portion
thereof to any of the questions put to you may go
against you. Have you understood ?

Ans. Yes, Understand.

Q.2. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3 Jasho-
mati Parekh, P.W. 4 Nagardas Parekh, P.W. 6 Pratap
Chandra Pati, P.W.7 Dasarath Murmu, P.W. 8 Ramdhani
Jadav, P.W. 11 Gouranga Chandra Pal and P.W. 21 Shyamal

Orl.P.No.84 contd. & 85

P.W. 21 Shyamal Karmakar and also the complaint marked ext: 2 that you acted as a security guard in Anand apartment at 57A & B, Padmapukur Road on and before 5.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. Yes, I was acted.

Q.3. It transpires from the testimonies of the aforesaid P.W.4, P.W.6, P.W.7 and P.W.8 that on 5.3.90 your duty hours in the aforesaid Anand Apartment were from 6 A.M. to 2 P.M. What you have to say ?

Ans. Yes, Was.

Q.4. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.4, P.W.6, P.W.7, P.W. 21 and documents marked exts. 6, 7, 14, 25 and 26 that you had been engaged by Messers Security and Investigation Bureau at Adyanath Saha Road, Calcutta-48 of which P.W. 21 is the owner, for acting as a security guard at the aforesaid Ananda Apartment. What you have to say ?

Ans. Yes, I have acted.

Q.5. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.4, P.W.6, P.W.7 and P.W.8 that P.W.7 Dasharath Murmu was the other security guard in the Anand Apartment on or before 5.3.90 and his duty hours were from 2 P.M. to 60'clock next morning. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sometimes I have done the morning duty. Sometime he was on evening duty. On 5.3.90 he was on evening duty.

Orl.P.No. 85

Q.6. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.6, P.W.7 and P.W.21 that you used to pass your leisure time in the generator room in the ground floor of Anand Apartment. What you have to say ?

Ans. No, never pass leisure time.

Q.7. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.6, and P.W.21 that you also used to work as a security guard everyday at night at 3/1B, Mahendre Road in the house of one Mr. Rajat Roy Chowdhury. What you have to say ?

Ans. I used to work.

Q.8. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.5, P.W.7 and P.W.8 that P.W.4 used to reside at flat no.3A in the 3rd floor of Anand Apartment with his wife P.W.3, son P.W.5 and deceased Hetal Parekh on and before 5.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. Yes, used to reside sir.

Q.9. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.13 and P.W.21 and the document marked ext. 4 that you started teasing Hetal Parekh since 10/15 days prior to the date of incident on 5.3.90, and that on 2.3.90 you asked aforesaid Hetal Parekh to accompany you to see pictures. What you have to say ?

Ans. No, Sir, Totally false statement. I am innocent. I know nothing about the incident.

Orl.P.No.85 contd. & 86

Q.10. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.4, P.W.13, P.W.21 and the document marked ext. 4, that P.W.4 being the Chairman of the Owners Association of Anand Apartment, lodged a complaint with P.W.21 about your alleged teasing of Hetal Parekh and sought for your replacement from Anand Apartment as a security guard. What you have to say ?

Ans. No, Sir. I know nothing in this regard. I am innocent.

Q.11. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.6, P.W.7, P.W.21, and the document marked Ext.14 (collectively) that P.W.6 used to act as a supervisor of Messers Security and Investigation Bureau on and before 5.3.90 and that his duties were to check up the workings of the security guards provided by the company in which he worked to different places and submit reports to office on such inspections and that on the basis of the aforesaid inspections the attendance of the concerned security guards were used to be prepared and such security guards used to get their salaries on the nothing of their attendance in the aforesaid manner. What you have to say ?

Ans. No, Sir. Totally false statement. Patil Sardhan used to work as security duty at A.C.Market during the night.

Q.12. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.6, P.W.7, P.W.9 and P.W.21 and the document marked ext.

191
Orl.P.No. 86

marked ext. 23 that P.W. 21 transferred you as a security guard from " Anand Apartment to " Parash Apartment " at 1/1, Chakraberia Lane on receipt of the complaint marked ext. 4 from P.W.4 and that the said transfer order had been sent to you on 4.3.90 through P.W.9, Refaul Haque. What you have to say ?

Ans. No Sir. Letter not received. In our company ~~no~~ no letter is necessary for transfer.

Q.13. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.6, P.W.21 and others and also the document marked ext. 23 that in pursuance of the direction in ext. 23, you were to perform duties as the security guard at the afore-said Paresh Apartment from the ~~morning~~ morning of 5.3.90 and not at Anand Apartment. What you have to say ?

Ans. Letter was not came to me. How, shall I know ?

Q.14. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.5, P.W.8 and others that Ramdhani used to act as a lift-man of Anand Apartment on and before 5.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. Yes, Sir. Used to act.

Sd/- R.N.Kali
Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
14.5.91

The above examination was taken in my presence and hearing, and contain a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali
Addl. Dist. Judge, 2nd Court, Alipore.

Orl.P.No. 222 87

Q.15. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.8 and ext. 2 that P.W.3 used to visit Lakshmi Narayan Temple at Sarat Bose Road everyday around 5/5.15 P.M. What you have to say ?

Ans. Evening duty was not done by me everyday. At the time of my evening duty I never saw them to go.

Q.16. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.7, P.W.8 and Ext. 2 that on 5.3.90 P.W.3 went out of here aforesaid flat at Anand Apartment and left for the aforesaid temple around 5/5.15 P.M. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing, I was not there. After duty I went away.

Q.17. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4 and Ext. 2 that Hetal Parekh, daughter of P.W.3 and P.W.4 ~~xx~~ was alone in her flat at 3A in Anand Apartment when P.W.3 went out for the temple. What you have to say ?

Ans. How shall I know. I was not present. I know nothing about this matter. Police imploded me in a got-up case.

Q.18. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W. 5 , P.W.6 and P.W.7 and ext. 2 that you went to flat no.3A at Anand Apartment where Hetal was alone and after P.W.3 left for the temple around 5/5.15 M.P.M., on the pretext of using the telephone

Orl.P.No. 87

telephone there for contacting your employer's office.
What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, False statement. With whom shall I talk over telephone ? Totally false statement. Owner was not there whom shall I Telephone, I was not present there.

Q.19. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.6 and P.W.7 that P.W.7 tried to contact you in flat no.3A at Anand Apartment through intercom from the ground floor of the particular premises around 5.45 P.M. being asked by P.W.6, but he did not get the connection. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not there. Totally false statement. I knows nothing.

Q.20. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.6 and P.W.7 that P.W.7 called you by your name from his duty post down-stairs after he failed to contact you through intercom. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present there. How shall I know ?
Totally false statement.

Q.21. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.6 and P.W.7 that you leaned out of the balcony of flat No.3A of Anand Apartment belonging to P.W.4 and stated that you would be coming down. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not there after two. Imposing false statement. I am innocent.

Orl.P.No.87

Q.22. It is also evident from the testimony of P.W.8 that he had seen you coming down by the staircase in the 3rd floor of Anand Apartment around 5.30/5.45 P.M. on 5.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, False statement. I was not present.

Q.23. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.6 and P.W.7 that you came down stairs after responding to the call of P.W.7 from the Balcony of flat no.3A of Anand Apartment and talked to P.W.6 and went out of the gate of the premises of Anand Apartment in haste. What you have to say ?

On that day I have not seen Pati. False statement.
I am innocent, Sir.

Q.24. It transpires from the testimony of P.W.6 that you admitted to his enquiry that you received the transfer order. What you have to say ?

Ans. False statement. I was not present.

Q.25. It is also evident from the testimony of P.W.6 that you had stated to the particular witness that you could not take over in " Parash Apartment " as you had some works. What you have to say ?

Ans. False statement. I have not seen him. Not present.

Q.26. It is also evident from the testimony of P.W.6 that he asked you to report for duty at " Parash apartment " with effect from 6.3.90 without fail. What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 88

Ans. False statement. I was not present. I have not seen.

Q.27. It transpires from the testimony of P.W.8 that he found you, P.W.6 and P.W.7 standing near the gate of the premises of Anand Apartment after 5.30 / 5.45 P.M. on 5.3.90 when he brought his lift down-stairs. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present. These are all false statement. I am innocent.

Q.28. It is also evident from the testimony of P.W.8 that he found you and P.W.6 leaving the gate of Anand Apartment after 5.30 / 5.45 P.M. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, False statement. Do not know. Totally false statement.

Q.29. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.6, P.W.21 and Wxt. 14(collectively) that you did not work under Messers Security and Investigati n Bureau of which P.W.21 is a Proprietor after 5.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. I have not done. I was on leave.

Q.30. It also transpires from the testimony of P.W.21 that you did not collect your salary for five days from 1.3.90 to 5.3.90 for working in Anand Apartment and four days of salary from 1.3.90 to 4.3.90 for working at premises No.3/1B, Mahendra Road in the house of Mr. Roychowdhury. What you have to say ?

Ans. He did not pay except on the last part of the month.

Orl.P.No. 88 contd. & 89

Q.31. It is evident from the testimony of P.W.6 that he left Anand Apartment on 5.3.90 at about 6 P.M. What you have to say ?

Ans. False statement. I was not present.

Q.32. It transpires from the testimony of P.W.7 that he found you dressed up in a grey coloured trouser and ghee coloured shirt like the one as identified by him and marked as material Ext. AII (collectively) on 5.3.90 at about 5.25 P.M. in Anand Apartment. What you have to say ?

Ans. False statement. I was not present.

Q.33. It is evident from the testimony of P.W.7 that as a security guard in Anand Apartment his duty was to guard the premises and prevent outsiders from entering into the building. What you have to say ?

Ans. Outsiders were not prevented.

Q.34. It also transpires from the testimony of this witness that a security guard working in Anand Apartment could allow people to enter only after contacting through intercom the residents of the concerned flat and getting permission from the flat owner for the entry of any people in the flat. What you have to say ?

Ans. Before we make any contact through intercom, Outsiders move upwards. On the other hand some of the Flat owners rebuked us.

Orl.P.No. 89

Q.35. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.7, P.W.8 and ext. 2 that P.W.3 returned to Anand Apartment premises from the temple around 6/6-05 P.M.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present on that day. Went to cinema.

Q.36. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.5 and ext. 2 that P.W.8 Ramdhani informed P.W.3 that you have gone to her flat at 3A in Anand Apartment for using her telephone after she left for the temple.

What you have to say ?

Ans. False statement. I was not present. Went to cinema.
Owner was also not present. With whom shall I talk over
 phone.

Q.37. It is also evident from the testimony of P.W.3 and ext. 2 that she became annoyed on hearing from P.W.8 that you had gone to her flat for using the telephone there when she left for the temple. What you have to say ?

Ans. How shall I know ? False statement. Went to cinema.

Q.38. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.8 and ext. 2 that P.W.3 had been brought to her flat in the 3rd floor by the lift by P.W.8 and that she started ringing the door bell of her flat, but nobody opened the door. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present. Went to Cinema.

Orl.P.No. 90

Q.39. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.15 Harish Chandra Desai and ext.2 that she started shouting and banging on the door by taking the name of her daughter Hetal and asking her to open the door. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present, sir. Went to Cinema.

Q.40. It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.15 and ext. 2 that some people assembled there and they pushed the door at the entrance of ~~flat~~ flat no.3A of P.W.3 but no response came from inside. What you have to say ?

Ans.I was not present. How shall I know all these things. I went to Cinema.

Q.41. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.15 and ext. 2 that Ramesh and Panchu, two servants and Ramdhani the liftman broke the door at the entrance of flat No.3A in the 3rd floor of Anand Apartment open when nobody responded from inside to the calls for opening the door in the manner as stated above. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present. I was in the Cinema Hall. How shall I know ?

Q.42. It transpires from the testimony of P.W.3 and ext. 2 that she found the party of Hetal in her drawing room after entering her flat by breaking open the door with the help of Ramesh and others. What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 90

Ans. How shall I know all these things ? I was not present. I went to cinema. I was not on duty.

Q.43. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.15 and ext. 2 that Hetal was found lying on the floor of the bed room of P.W.3 and P.W.4 on her back and her private parts were visible. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present. How shall I know ? I went to cinema.

Q.44. It also transpires from the testimony of P.W.3 and ext. 2 that P.W.3 found blood by the side of the body of Hetal and the clothings on her body in disturbed condition and stains of blood on her face and hands and on different parts of the body. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I went at Cinema. I was not present. After seeing cinema I came to Monorama School and purchase some fruits on account of sacred thread ceremony of my brother and went home. I am innocent. Police impleaded me falsely.

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
15.5.91

Sd/- R.N.Kali
Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)

The above examination was taken in my presence and hearing, and contain a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali Addl. Dist. Judge
14.5.91 2nd Court, Alipore(s)

Orl.P.No. 91

Q.45. It is evident from the testimony of P.W.3 that he searched for you inside her flat when she found her daughter Hetal lying in the manner as stated earlier. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present. Went to cinema. After cinema I went to my home from that place where I reside. I knows nothing in this regard.

Q.46. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3 and P.W.4 that Almirah in the bed room of the aforesaid two witnesses was found open and articles inside the particular almirah were found strewn on the floor. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing in this matter. Completely innocent.

Q.47. It transpires from the testimony of P.W.4 that he found traces of blood in his bed room where Hetal had been murdered. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing about these facts. Went to cinema.

Q.48. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W. 4, P.W.5 and Exts. 9,9/1 and 9/2 that one broken chain, some hairs and a cream-coloured button were found inside the bed room of P.W.4 where Hetal had been murdered. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing about these fact. Innocent. I was not present.

Orl.P.No. 91

Q.49 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.4, P.W.5, P.W.28 S.I. Gurupada Som and the G.D. entry marked ext.32 that P.W.4 informed Bhawanipore P.S. around 9.15 P.M. on 5.3.90 that his daughter had been murdered inside his flat no. 3A of Anand Apartment at 57A an B Padmapukur Road. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing about all these facts. I am innocent.

Q.50. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.5, P.W.10 Rajib Bavaria, P.W. 28 and the documents marked exts.9, 9/1 and 9/2 that one cream-coloured button of shirt marked, material ext.X, one sunmica top wooden cradle marked material ext. VII, one printed cloth resembling a handkerchief having some apparent stains one broken chain of unknown substance (Ext. XI) and other articles as described in the particular seizure list marked ext.9 had been seized by police from the bed room of P.W.3 and P.W.4 on 6.3.90 between 0.30 hours and 1.15 hours. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing about these facts. I went to home on returning from cinema.

Q.51. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.5, P.W.10, P.W.28, and ~~an~~ exts. 10 and 10/1 that police seized from the drawing room of flat no.3A of premises No.57A and B, Padma Pukur Road, between 1.25 hours and 1.35 hours on 6.3.90 one ladies panty in torn condition with marks of blood and unknown stains having hair struck (marked

Orl.P.No.91

(marked material ext. V), one door latchlock of unknown metal in broken condition with screws lying on the floor (marked material ext. IX collectively), as described in the seizure list marked ext. 10.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about the facts. Innocent.

Q.52 : It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.5, P.W.10 and P.W.26, Moloy Mukherjee and also from the documents marked exts. 11, 11/1 and 11/2 that police seized one white coloured underwear midi with marks of blood and torn panty, one pink coloured midi scarf having marks of blood and stains, as described in the seizure list marked ext.11 from the room on the western side adjacent to the kitchen and drawing room of the aforesaid flat of P.W.4 on 5.3.90 and 6.3.90 between 23.50 hours and 00.15 hours. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about this matter. On returning from cinema I went home due to sacred thread ceremony of my brother.

Q.53 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.3 that she lifted Hetal when she found her lying with injuries in her bed room and asked the people assembled there to take her to hospital and she brought her to the lift. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about the incident. Innocent.

Innocent

Orl.P.No. 92

Q.54 : It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.7 and P.W.8 that P.W.3 brought down Hetal by the lift operated by P.W.8. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing about the incident.

Q.55. It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.7 and P.W.8 that Hetal was examined by a doctor when she was inside the lift on the lap of her mother downstairs and was pronounced dead. What you have to say ?

Ans. I am not aware about all these facts. I was not with them. After duty I went away.

Q.56. It transpires from the testimony of P.W.17 Dr. Kirti Kumar Bavishi that he was called to visit premises at 57A and B, Padmapukur Road on 5.3.90 at about 6.30 P.M. and that on going there he found a lady sitting in the lift in the ground floor of the particular building with a girl on her lap. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing in this matter. Went to cinema.

Q.57 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that on enquiry he came to know that the name of the girl was Hetal Parekh and the lady who was holding her was her mother. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing in this matter. I was not present.

Orl.P.No. 22xema1dy-2-93

Q.58. It also transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he examined the girl and found her dead. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing in this regard.

Q.59. It further transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that in his opinion the death was unnatural and he advised the mother of the victim girl to call police. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I was not present.

Q.60. It is evident from the testimony of P.W.5 that he rang up his relatives Mr. and Mrs. Modi and friends namely Rajib, Anish and Hiten and asked them to come to his flat saying that her sister was murdered in the flat. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I knows nothing in this matter. I went to cinema. I am innocent.

Q.61. It transpires from the testimony of P.W.5 Bhabesh Parekh that the broken chain marked material Ext. XI which was found in the bed room of his parents where Hetal was murdered did not belong to any member of his family. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I knows nothing in this matter. I was not present.

Q.62. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.5, P.W.11 Gouranga Chandra Pal alias Gora and P.W. 28 that the said P.W.11 Gora used to work in a flat opposite to flat

Orl.P.No. 93 contd. 94

flat No.3A of Anand Apartment. What you have to say ?

Ans. Yes, Used to work.

Q.63. It further transpires from the testimonies of the aforesaid witnesses that Gora was brought to flat No.3A in the night of 5.3.90 after police reached there.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing in this matter. I was not present.

Q.64. It further transpires from the testimonies of the aforesaid witnesses that P.W.11 Gora identified the chain marked material Ext. XI as one belonging to him.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing in this matter.

Q.65. It also transpires from the testimony of P.W.11 that he gave this particular chain marked material Ext. XI to you about a month prior to the date of incident. What you have to say ?

Ans. Totally false statement. I did not receive any chain.

Q.66 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.5, P.W.10, P.W. 28 and document marked exts. 12,12/1 and 12/2 that inquest over the deadbody of Hetal Parekh was done by P.W.28 in flat no.3A of premises No. 57A and B Padmapukur Road on 6.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. I knows nothing in this matter. I was not present. I went home.

Orl.P.No. 94

Q.67. It is evident from the document marked ext. 12 that the deadbody of Hetal Parekh was lying on her back being covered with a " chadar " upto her neck on the cot of her room situated on the western side of flat no. 3A of 3rd floor of Anand Apartment at 57A and B, Padmapukur Road and that she had bleeding injuries on her nose and stains of blood could be found on her face. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was not present there. I knows nothing. Sir, I am innocent.

Q.68 :- It is also evident from the document marked ext. 12 that the following injuries were found on her body :-

- ✓ 1) Multiple abrasion on the left and right side of her neck and face.
- ✓ 2) Abrasion over the tip of nose.
- ✓ 3) One lacerated injury on the inner side of lower lip.
- ✓ 4) One lacerated injury over the bridge of nose.
- ✓ 5) Abrasion on left arm and on right hip joint.

What you have to say ?

✓ Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent.

Q. 69. It is also evident from ext. 12 that deadbody of Hetal Parekh was sent for postmortem examination for ascertaining the actual cause of her death.

What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I do not know.

Orl.P.No. 94 contd. & 95

Q.69. It is also evident from ext. 12 that deadbody of Hetal Parekh was sent for postmortem examination for ascertaining the actual cause of her death. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I do not know.

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
16.5.91

Sd/- R.N.Kali

Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s) 16.5.91.

The above examination was taken in my presence and hearing, and contain a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali

Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)
14.5.91.

Orl.P.No. 95

Q.70 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.4, P.W.5 and the document marked ext. 3 that on 6.3.90 a rich wrist watch with gold chain of P.W. 3 was found missing from her almirah in her bed room at Flat No. 3A of the aforesaid Anand Apartment.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent.

Q.71. It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3, P.W.5 and the documents marked exts. 3 and 3/1 that at the instance of P.W.3, P.W.5 informed the Officer-in-charge of Bhawanipore P.S. by a letter marked ext. 3 that her new Rich (Ladies)wrist watch with metal gold coloured chain valued at Rs. 350/- was missing from her flat. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent.

Q.72. It is evident from the testimony of P.W.3 and the documents marked exts. 3 and 3/1 that P.W.3 suspected that you had committed theft in respect of the wrist watch from the aforesaid flat of P.W.3 after committing murder of her daughter Hetal. What you have to say ?

Ans. Complitley false statement. I am innocent.

Q.73 : It is evident from the documents marked exts. 3 and 3/1 that the papers relating to the aforesaid wrist watch of P.W.3 had been sent to O.C., Bhawanipore P.S. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent.

Orl.P.No. 95 contd. & 96

Q.74 : It transpires from the testimonies of P.W.3 and P.W.4 that the particular wrist watch belonging to P.W.3 had been identified in court as material ext. IV and was seen by you. What you have to say ?

Ans. I am innocent. Yes, I have seen.

Q.75 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.3 that the guarantee card for the aforesaid wrist watch, marked ext. 15, had been sent to O.C., Bhawanipore P.S. along with the complaint marked ext. 3. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter, I am innocent.

Q.76 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.4 and P.W. 18 Md. Fakuruddin and also the document marked ext. 15 that the particular ladies Ricoh wrist watch belonging to P.W.3 had been purchased from Messers H.M. Watch Company at 147/148, Radha Bazar Street, on 21.2.90 at a price of Rs. 350/-. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter, I am innocent.

Police impleded me in a fase case.

Q. 77: It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.4 and P.W. 29 Salil Basu Chowdhury and also documents marked Exts. 5 and 5/1 that two bills raised by the Messers Security and Investigation Bureau marked ext. 6 (collectively) and a money receipt granted by the aforesaid Security and Investigation Bureau marked ext. 7 had been produced by P.W.4 and seized under the

Orl.P.No. 96

under the seizure list marked ext. 5. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter.

Q.78 : It is also evident from the testimonies of the aforesaid two witnesses and the documents marked ext.6/1 (collectively) and ext. 7/1 that on 3.4.90 P.W.4 signed on the reverse of the aforesaid documents marked exts. 6 (collectively) and ext. 7 respectively.

What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing.

Q. 79 : It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W.4, P.W.5 and P.W. 29 and the documents marked exts. 8,8/1 and 8/2 that on 24.5.90, P.W.29 seized one Godrej key bearing no. 636362 of the broken door lock at the entrance of the aforesaid flat of P.W.4. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent, Sir.

Q. 80 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.3 that she had locked the entrance door of her flat by pulling it after leaving the house. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I was not present. Went to cinema. I know nothing.

Q. 81 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.3 that her daughter Hetal came back from her school at about 1 P.M. on 5.3.90 after appearing it I.C.S.C. examination. What you have to say ?

Ans. I have not seen. I remain on duty on different place.

Orl.P.No. 96 contd

Q.82 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.4 that liftman Ramdhani had told him that you had gone to his (P.W.4's) flat on the pretext of using the telephone there when Hetal was alone in the flat and his (P.W.4's) wife had gone to the temple. What you have to say ?

Ans. Complitlely false statement, I was not present. Went to cinema.

Q. 83 : It is evident from the document marked ext. 4 that P.W.4 had complained to your employer Shyamal Karmakar on 3.3.90 that you used to tease his daughter Hetal almost everyday on her way to school and back and that his daughter fell very much disturbed for such conduct on your part. *what you have to say?*

Ans. Complitlely false statement. No such news ever came to my ear. I heard this for the first time. I am complitlely innocent in this regard.

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
17.5.91.

Sd/ - R.N.Kali 17.5.91

Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)

The above examination was taken in my presence and hearing, and contain a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali 17.5.91

Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge
2nd Court, Alipore(s)

Orl.P.No. 97

Q. 84 : It is also evident from the particular letter marked ext. 4 and the testimony of P.W. 13 Mahendra Choudhatia that the aforesaid fact had been brought to the notice of P.W.13 and other occupants of the apartment. What you have to say ?

Ans. I have no knowledge about these facts. Totally false statement.

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
17.5.91

Sd/- R.N.Kali
Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge
2nd Court, Alipore(s)

The above examination was taken in my presence and hearing, and contain a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali
Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge
2nd Court, Alipore(s)

Q. 85 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.23 Arun Kr. Saha that he took the deadbody of Hetal Parekh from Padmapukur Road to S.S.K.M. Hospital along with Shri M.K.Mukherjee of Bhawanipore P.S. on 5.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent. I was not present.

Q. 86 : It is evident from the aforesaid witness that on 6.3.90 he took the deadbody of Hetal Parekh from S.S.K.M. Hospital to Calcutta Police Morgue and identified the same to the doctor who held postmortem examination on the deadbody. What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 97

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. I was ~~not~~ at home on 6th instant.

Q. 87 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he brought the viscera and the clothings and the other articles and also the postmortem report as given to him by the doctor who conducted postmortem examination from the morgue to police station. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 88 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he identified in court the photograph of the deadbody which he carried to the morgue and it was marked material Ext. 1/1. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 89 : It transpires from the testimony of P.W. 20 Dr. Dipankar Guha Roy that he held postmortem examination over the deadbody of Hetal Parekh on 6.3.90 at 4.30 P.M. as identified to him by P.W.23. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 90 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that the deceased Hetal Parekh was aged about 18 years, 5 ft. 3 inches in height and weighing about 45.2 kgs. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Orl.P.No. 98

Q. 91 : It is evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he recorded the time of arrival of the deadbody in the morgue on 6.3.90 at 1.25 P.M. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 92 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that on examination of the deadbody of Hetal Parekh he found 21 ~~inn~~ injuries on different parts of her body and noted the same in his report and also stated in his evidence before the court ~~in~~ the details of all the injuries which you have heard. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. I am innocent.

Q. 93 : It is evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he found abrasions, lacerated wound, contusions, fracture etc. on different parts of the body of deceased Hetal Parekh as noted by him in the post-mortem report and as stated by him in court and detailed in injuries nos. 1 to 21 which you have heard. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 94 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he found fresh tear of hymen at 4,5 and 7 O'clock position with extra evidence of extravasation of blood in margin in the private part of

Orl.P.No. 98 contd. & 99

of deceased Hetal Parekh. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about this fact, Innocent.

Q. 95 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he found one dark-coloured half sleeve ganji with evidence of recent tear around the neck, one brassier on the deadbody of Hetal Parekh and that the deadbody was covered with a sheet of cloth. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about this facts.

Q. 96 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he found blood stains discharge on nostril and face of the dead body of Hetal Parekh and that the ganji on the person of the deadbody was also found blood stain. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about this facts.

Q. 97 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that death of the victim Hetal Parekh was due to the effects of smothering with strangulation and the same were antemortem and homicidal in nature. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about this facts, Innocent.

Q. 98 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that the injuries noted by him on the person of the deadbody and brought to your notice showed evidence of vital reaction. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about this facts. Innocent.

Orl.P.No. 99 contd. & 100

Q. 99 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that the ~~two~~ injuries found by him due to the ~~same~~ smothering and strangulation were sufficient to cause the death of the victim in the ordinary course of nature.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q. 100 : It is also evident from the testimony of this witness that injury no. 21, namely fracture and dislocation of hyoid bone on its greater cornu was sufficient to cause the death of the victim in the ordinary course of nature. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about this facts.

Q. 101 : It is also evident from the testimony of aforesaid witness that the finding as noted by him at the post mortem examination of the deadbody of Hetal Parekh indicated that the victim Hetal Parekh had been raped before she was murdered. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q. 102 : It is also evident from the testimony of aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 17 on 6.3.90 he received ext. 17, which is a copy from inspector-in-charge of Bhawanipore P.S. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing.

Q. 103 : It is also evident from the testimony of aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 17 that the particular witness was asked to give

Orl.P.No. 100

opinion on certain queries as spelt out in ext. 17 while conducting the postmortem examination over the deadbody of Hetal Parekh. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing.

Q. 104 : It is evident from the document marked ext. 17 that the following queries were made from the doctor conducting the postmortem examination :-

- 1) Cause of death and the details of injury if any.
- 2) Injuries, if found, could have been caused by on or more person.
- 3) Time of death.
- 4) Whether the victim was raped.
- 5) Any marks of violance in case of resistance by the victim.
- 6) Opinion on any other point of medicolegal importance.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing.

Q. 105 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 17 that viscera, blood hair-scrap, wearing apparels etc., any foreign material if found and any other medicolegal importance were requested to be preserved after the postmortem examination of the deadbody of Hetal Parekh.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing. Innocent.

Orl.P.No. 100 contd. & 101

Q. 106 : It also transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 18 that he replied to the queries made in ext. 17 item-wise in the following manner :-

- 1) Postmortem report no. 232 dated 6.3.90 of Calcutta Police Morgue.
- 2) Injuries found on the body of the deceased could have been caused by one person.
- 3) Excluding the period of preservation of the deadbody inside the cooling chamber, if any, about 6 to 18 hours before conducting the post-mortem examination.
- 4) The finding in the hymen and matted pubic hair indicated that the victim had been subjected to sexual intercourse before she was murdered.
- 5) Injury no.14, no.15, no. 16 and no. 17 as mentioned in the postmortem report and brought to your notice which were over upper arm of the left side below and hip and injuries over the face directly suggested that the victim offered resistance.
- 6) Injuries over the face of the victim indicated that her face was pressed against some hard substance. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Orl.P.No. 101

Q. 110 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W, 27 Sri Partha Sinha that he examined a latch-cum-lock marked 'B' by the authorities, who sent the particular articles alongwith others in connection with Bhawanipore P.S. Case No. 87 dated 6.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 111 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that the particular ext. B was a latch-cum-lock having sliding bolt which keeps a door bolted from inside by the spring action when the door is pushed out from inside or pulled from outside. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 112 : It is evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that the only way to unbolt a door thus bolted from outside the door is by operating a key through a key whole, and from inside the door by operating the unbolting knob. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts.) (L. 11) 12/10/90 Cont.

Q. 113 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that a door bolted in the manner as stated above could further be locked mechanically from inside the door by restricting the freedom of unbolting knob by operating

Orl.P.No. 101 contd. & 102

operating a separate locking key beside the unbolting key. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about this facts.

Q. 114 : It is further evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that he examined a cream coloured full sleeved open breast synthetic shirt marked ext. U by the authorities who is sent article to him. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. I am innocent. Police ~~xxx~~falsely impleaded me in this case.

Q. 115 : It is evident further from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that all the buttons stitched on the shirt except the third button from the top and front vertical button plate were like cream coloured and stitched in similar pattern with off white thread of 3 ply and X type twist. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. ~~xxxx
xxxxxxx-Rexixexfxlxexlximpkexdxdxur-taxthix-raxe.~~

My shirt was with me. I never came to Calcutta put on the shirt given by my father in laws house.

Q. 116 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that the 3rd button stitched on the particular shirt was white and stitched in a different pattern with milky white thread of two ply and Z type twist.

What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 102

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. My new shirt was taken by I.O. from my wife's box.

Q. 117 : It further transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that mark of application of force downward with respect to the shirt could be observed beneath the third white button at the position where the original button had been stitched.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 118 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that he examined a faint cream coloured button which was marked ext. C by the authorities who is sent the article to the particular witness for examination. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q. 119 : It further transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that all the buttons on the front button plate of the shirt marked Ext. U except the 3rd white button were cut off and compared with the button marked ext. C. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 120 : It is evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 30 that the button marked ext.C was similar with the buttons cut off

Orl.P.No. 102 contd. & 103

cut off from the shirt in respect of shape, dimensions, colour and weight upto the hundredth part of milligram. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. I am innocent.

Q. 121 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 27 and ext. 30 that the particular witness received a paper pocket for examination marked "W" containing one black bagy-type T-shirt, one brassier, one chaddar. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 122 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and ext. 30 that he found marks of application of force in the form of disjointing of stitches, 5 cms. on the right and 4.5 cms. on the left of the neck plate joint and tears of 3 cms. on the right and 3.5 cms. on the left of shoulder joint. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q. 123 : It is evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 31 that he also examined a metallic chain marked L of length 55 cms. sent to him by the concerned authorities in connection with Bhawanipore P.S. Case No. 87 dt. 6.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Orl.P.No. 103

Q. 124 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 31 that the particular metallic chain bore mark of application of force in the form of opening of joint of fixed ring ring, shouldered on the one side of the middle hooking. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q. 125 : It is evident from the report marked ext. 31 that the particular packet marked "D" by the relevant authorities contained one panty fitted with elastic around the waist and thigh openings. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q. 126 : It is also evident from the document marked ext. 31 that ~~mm~~ marks of application of force were observed in the form of disjoining of stitched elastic joint of the thigh openings, tearing off of the left and right verticle stitches, inclined tear of 11 cms, from the front right of thigh opening, tearing off of 6.5 cms. long stitches on the lower part of right thigh opening. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these fact Innocent.

Q. 127 :- It is evident from the document marked ext.31 that the white skirt type under garment of lower circular opening diametre 37 cms. with elastic waist platibere sign of application of force in the form of tearing off of 12 cms. long lower part stitches of one of the vertica

vertical joint. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts, Innocent. Police falsely impleaded me in this case.

Q. 128 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 20 and the documents marked exts. 21 and 22 that on 19.5.90 he examined you medically being identified by P.W. 29 S.I. S.Basu Chowdhury. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was medically examined.

Q. 129 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 21 that he received the particular requisition ext. 21 from P.W. 29 on 19.5.90 for examining you. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 130 : It is evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness (P.W. 20) and the document marked ext. 21 that on examination by the aforesaid witness your height was found to be 5 ft. 6 inches and weight 56 kgs. on the date of examination. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. Sir, I am innocent.

Q. 131 : It is evident from the document marked ext. 22 that you had the following identification marks on your body :-

- 1) One pin-hole mole on the left side of neck on the lateral aspect 2 inches below the left angle of mandible.
 - 2) One pin-hole mole on the interior aspect of chest over mid-line 5 inches below the supra-sternal notch.
- What you have to say ?

Ans. I do not know what was done by doctor Basu.

Orl.P.No. 104 contd. & 105

Q. 132 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 20 and the document marked ext. 22 that he identified you in court on the basis of the identification marks as noted by him in ext. 22. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I could not say anything about these facts.

Q. 133 : It is evident from the document marked ext.21 that opinion was asked for on your examination on the following points :-

- 1. Whether you are capable of doing sexual intercourse.
- 2. Whether you are capable of over-powering a girl like the victim Hetal Parekh with the intention to commit rape on her with reference to the postmortem report No. 232 dated 6.3.90 as brought to your notice earlier.
- 3. Any other point of interest.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q.134 : It is evident from the document marked ext.22 that P.W.21's examination answered the queries had made in the ~~xxx~~ previous question in the following manner :-

- 1. There was nothing to suggest that you were not capable to perform sexual intercourse.
- 2. The physique and general built of yours suggested that you possessed physical strength for overpowering a girl of 18 years of age and built as was the case of deceased Hetal Parekh vide postmortem report no. 232 dated 6.3.90

227

Orl.P.No. 105

6.3.90 and as brought to your notice earlier.

What you have to say ?

3) Blood in blotting papers and seminal fluid
had been collected and preserved and handed over
to the I.O.

What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. Innocent.

Q. 135 : It is evident from the document marked ext.22
that your signature dated 19.5.90 appeared to indicate
the you had been examined by the aforesaid witness
P.W.20. This is your signature in the said document.

What you have to say ?

Ans. These is my signature.

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
27.5.90.

Sd/- R.N.Kali
Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)
27.5.91.

The above examination was taken in my presence and
hearing, and contain a full and true account of the
statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali

Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge
2nd Court. Alipore (s) 27.5.91.

Orl.P.No. 105

Q. 136 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.25 S.I. Anil Kar and the document marked ext. 27 that on 7.3.90 he searched for you in connection with this case as per orders of Assistant Commissioner, Detective Department at premises no.Q/100 Sarat Chandra Road at Durgapur in the house of Shantipada Banerjee. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 137 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.24 S.I. Pranab Kr. Chatterjee and P.W. 25 and the document marked ext. 28 that on 8.3.90 P.W.25 searched for you in the house of Bangshi Chatterjee at village Kuludihri under P.S. Chhatna in the district of Bankura. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these facts. I went to father in law's house with my wife.

Q. 138 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 25 that you were not found in any of the aforesaid two places. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 139 : It transpires from the testimonies of P.W. 19 Debdulal Mukherjee, P.W. 24 Sub-inspector of Police Pranab Kumar Chatterjee and P.W. 29 that three houses at village Kuludihri under P.S. Chhatna had been searched on 12.5.90 around 12.15 O'clock at night.

What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 106

Ans. My house was searched, I know only this fact.
Nobody was present named Deb Dulal.

Q. 140 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 19 that he is a resident of village Chhatna in the district of ~~Exk~~ Bankura and that he sits in a sweetmeant shop belonging to his elder brother there. What you have to say ?

Ans. Yes, he is my friend. His elder brother sits in a Sweet Meant shop statement given by him is totally false. When I came to Police Station at that time he was called for preparing tea.

Q. 141 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that on 12.5.90 at about 12 O'clock at night he was having a chat with Nandagopal Deoghoria, a resident of his locality in front of the aforesaid sweetmeat shop of his elder brother. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts.

Q. 142 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that P.W. 24 came there around that time and reported to him in presence of said Nandagopal Deoghoria that some police officers from Calcutta had come in connection with a case of rape and murder committed in Calcutta and a wrist watch having been stolen in the said incident. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing about these facts. I am completely innocent.

Orl.P.No. 106

~~Q. 143~~

Q. 143 : It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W. 19, P.W.24 and P.W.29 that P.W. 19 and the aforesaid Nandagopal Deoghoria accompanied P.W.24, P.W.29 and other police officers when they conducted raid in different houses at village Kuludihi. What you have to say :

Ans. Totally false statement. When I was brought to police station then Dubdulal was called for tea and at about 8-30 /8-45 A.M. Nanda Babu was called for giving evidence.

Q. 144 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W. 19 and P.W. 29 that after reaching village Kuludihi the police party accompanied by P.W. 19 and the other witness Nandagopal Deoghoria searched your house, but you were not found there. What you have to say ?

Ans. False statement. Neither Debdulal nor Nanda Babu was present. At my house myself and my wife were present.

Q. 145 : It transpires from the testimonies of the aforesaid two witnesses that after searching your house the police party searched the adjoining house belonging to your uncle in the same manner but you were not found there. What you have to say ?

✓ Ans. False statement.

Q. 146 : It further transpires from the testimonies of P.W.19, P.W. 24 and P.W. 29 that the police party searched the house of the elder brother of your father

Orl.P.No. 106 contd. & 107

father and found you hiding behind behind a stack of straw and arrested you therefrom. What you have to say ?

Ans. These are all false statement. At my house myself and my wife were present.

Q. 147 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 29 and the document marked ext. 34 that you had been interrogated by P.W. 29 after your arrest and you gave a statement to him which was recorded by him in ext.34. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, nothing was happened there. All false statement.

Q. 148 : It is also evident from the testimony of P.W. 29 and the document marked ext. 34 that the statements given by you and as recorded by P.W. 29 had been read over and explained to you. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, totally false statement. I know nothing. Sir, I am innocent.

Q. 149 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 29 and the document marked ext. 34 that you had stated to him " I kept the ladies rich wrist watch with gold metal band which was stolen by me from flat no.3A of Anand Apartment on 5.3.90 on the rack of our house". What you have to say ?

Ans. Totally false statement. Police assaulted me on showing the watch at Lalbazar. I know nothing. I am innocent.

Orl.P.No. 107

Q. 150 : It is also evident from the testimony of P.W.29 and the document marked ext. 34 that you stated to P.W. 29 - " I also kept my garments by wearing which I raped Hetal Parekh and killed her in another rack of our house ". What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I am innocent. Totally false statement. Forcely told that I shall go latter on I.O. took the shirt. Pant and money bag which ~~ix~~ contain cinema tickets and some paper of my office to police station from the box of my wife.

Q.151 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 29 and the document marked ext. 34 that you had stated to P.W. 29 - " I will point out the racks and those articles". What you have to say ?

Ans. :Sir, totally false statement. New shirt and pant given from my father in law's house was taken from the box and also took the ornaments of my wife. Those were returned after showing receipt. Shirt and pant were taken for put on by me. I was wearing a lungi.

Q. 152 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.24 and P.W. 29 that you took the police party to your house after your arrest and recording of statement by P.W. 29. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, totaly false statement. I was present at my house.

Q. 153 : It also transpires from the testimony of P.W.19 that the police officers brought you to your house after your arrest and talks the police had with you. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, false statement, Neither Debdulal nor Nanda Gopal were present. This a false statement taugted by police. I am innocent, I was present at hom.

Q. 154 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.24 and P.W. 29 that you brought out a packet wrapped by a newspaper from a shelf in a room of your house. What you have to say ?

Ans. Totally false statement. Nobody use newspaper at our house. I .Q. Saaeb wanted a newspaper for wrapping the shirt and pant for taking to police station and Bara Babu of police station bring it from his house. Time was given at 7.00 A.M. I am innocent.

Q. 155 : It is also evident from the testimonies of the aforesaid witnesses that the particular packet contained one shirt and one pant. What you have to say ?

Ans. After taking the newspaper from the Daraga Babu of police station and told me that shirt and pant could not be put on. I do not know what happen after that.

Q. 156 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 19 that the wearing apparels marked material Ext. XII had been brought out by police from a rack in your house in the presence of the said witness. What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 107 contd. & 108

Sir, totally false statement. Forceably taken from the box of my wife for my wearing. I was on leave. I know nothing about this case. Impleaded me in a got-up case.

Q. 157 : It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W. 24 and P.W. 29 that you brought out a ladies rich wrist watch with golden colour which had been shown to you and marked as material Ext. IV. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, false statement. Watch was a shown to me at Lalbazar. I know nothing. My finger was factured by them.

Q. 158 : It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W. 19, P.W. 24 and P.W. 29 and the documents marked exts. 16, 16/1 and 16/2 that the articles as described in ext. 16, being the pant and shirt wrapped by a newspaper, brought out by you and a ladies rich wrist watch with metal gold colour band, as brought out by you, had been seized on 12.5.90 between 2.45 hrs. and 3.25 hrs. by police in presence of P.W. 19 and Nandagopal Deoghorla. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, totaly false statement. Shirt and pant was taken forceably. I know nothing. Innocent. Watch was shown to me at lalbazar.

Q. 159 : It is evident from the document marked ext.16 that you signed the particular document and noted the date of signature as 12.5.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. My signature was taken in a white paper, I have ~~xxxx~~

Orl.P.No. 108.

I have seen nothing. My signature was taken in a white paper at the police station at about 7.30/ 7.45 A.M. and thereafter I was taken to that Tailor from where I prepare my shirt. My brother took delivery of the clothing from the tailor and keep the same to the box of my wife.

160. It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W.19, P.W.24 and P.W. 29 that after the seizure of the aforesaid articles under Ext. 16, the particular articles had been labelled. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, these are all false statement. Clothing were forceable taken from the box of my wife. All false statement.

Q.161 : It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W. 19 and P.W.24 and the documents marked material Ext. IV/1 and Ext.IV/2 that P.W. 19 and P.W.24 signed on the label attached to material ext. IV. What you have to say ?

Ans. Totally false statement. I know nothing. Watch was shown at Lalbazar in fear of Police Debdulal Babu gave false statement. Know nothing about Calcutta.

Q. 162 : It is evident from material Ext. Ext IV and its label that you signed the particular label with date 12.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I do not sign. Afterwards my signature was taken on a white paper. Watch was shown to me at Lalbazar and beat me. Innocent.

Orl.P.No. 108 contd. & 109

Q. 163 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.19, P.W.24 and P.W.29 that the wearing apparels seized by police under ext. 16 have been marked in court as material Ext. XII. What you have to say ?

Ans. Clothing were not seized from me. Police forceable taken out for my wearing. Moreover there is no rack at my house. I am innocent. ~~ka~~ Know nothing.

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
28.5.91

Sd/- R.M. Kali 28.5.91

Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)

The above examination was taken in my presence and hearing, and contain a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali 14.5.91
Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)

Q. 164 : It is evident from the testimonies of P.W.19, P.W. 24 and P.W. 29 that the newspaper by which material ext. XII has been wrapped up has been identified in court as material ext. XIII in court. What you have to say ?

Ans. False statement. No newspaper was seized from my house. Paper was taken from the Bara Babu of Police Station. Said paper is shown at the Court.

Q. 165 : It is also evident from the testimonies of P.W. 19 and P.W.24 and the documents marked exts. XIII/1 and XIII/2 that the signature of the aforesaid witnesses appeared in the particular newspaper marked material

Orl.P.No. 109

material Ext. XIII. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing about these false.

Q. 166 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 29 that you had been produced before the Ld. C.J.M. at Bankura after you were brought under arrest to Chhatna P.S. with a prayer for retaining the seized articles in the custody of the police. What you have to say ?

Ans. I was sitting in a car. I do not know which were seized. I was not taken to any court at Bankura. On Sunday Judge Sahab arose at Court after seeing the Mahabharat. I was sitting in the car with two constable. Sir, I am innocent. Know nothing.

Q. 167 : It transpires from the testimony of P.W. 26 Sub-inspector Malay Mukherjee that on 5.3.90 while acting as an officer of Bhawanipore P.S. he received oral instruction from the Officer in Charge of Bhawanipore P.S. to visit premises no. 57 A and B, Padmapukur Road, as an information was received in the said police station about the murder of a person at the particular premises. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I went to cinema on 5.3.90 and thereafter went home from Monorama School.

Q. 168 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he seized the clothings of the deceased as described in the seizure list, marked ext.11 in presence of witnesses under the orders of the Officer in charge of of Bhawanipore P.S. What you have

Orl.P.No. 109 contd. & 110

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent. Police impleaded me in a got up case.

Q. 169 : It transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he had been asked by the Officer in Charge of Bhawanipore P.S. to search for you in some nearby places of premises no. 57 A and B, Padmapukur Road. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter, On taking leave from office I went home for sacred thread ceremony of my brother and for an operation of my wife.

Q. 170 : It also transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he accompanied by P.W. 7, who was the other security guard of the particular building at the relevant point of time, had been to Mahendra Road, Chakraberia Road and Chakraberia Lane for apprehending you but you were not found there. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. Went home on leave.

Q. 171 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he arranged for despatch of the deadbody of the victim Hetal Parekh to S.S.K.M. Hospital from premises No. 57A and B, Padmapukur Road and that a constable of the particular P.S. also accompanied him to S.S.K.M. Hospital for that purpose. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 172 : It further transpires from the testimony of the

Orl.P.No. 110

of the aforesaid witness that he arranged to keep the deadbody of the aforesaid victim in cooling chamber at S.S.K.M. Hospital and thereafter he came back to the place of occurrence, that is premises No. 57 A and B, Padmapukur Road. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter. On seeing cinema I went home.

Q. 173 : It transpires from the testimony of P.W. 28 Gurupada Some and the document marked ext. 32 that on 5.3.90 at about 9.15 P.M. while acting as the duty officer of Bhawanipore P.S. he received an information from Nagardas Parekh that his daughter had been murdered in his flat in that afternoon at flat no.3A Anand Apartment of premises No. 57 A and B, Padmapukur Road and recorded the same in the particular G.D. Entry No. 514 dated 5.3.90. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. Innocent.

Q. 174 : It is also evident from the testimony of the witness that after receiving the aforesaid information over telephone, he brought the same to the notice of the Officer in charge of Bhawanipore P.S. and thereafter he along with the Officer in Charge of Bhawanipore P.S. and other police personnel went to premises No. 57A and B, Padmapukur Road. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 175 : It is evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that on reaching the particular premises he along with the officer in charge of

Orl.P.No. 111

of Bhawanipore P.S. and other police officers contacted Nagardas Parekh at flat no. 3A of the particular building and the said Nagardas Parekh led him and others to a room where a girl was lying with her body covered by a 'chaddar' on a cot in bleeding condition. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 176 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he and the other police officers were taken to the bed room of Mr. and Mrs. Parekh and marks of blood on the floor of that room were found by him and others and a broken chain and a cream coloured button were also found lying on the floor of the particular room. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 177 : It also appears from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that some clothings and other unknown articles were also found in the room. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent.

Q. 178 : It transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that a creadle with blood stains was found in the said room. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 179 : It also transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that a steel almirah in the southern corner of the particular room was found open and the

Orl.P.No. 111 contd. & 112

and the articles inside it were found to be in disturbed condition. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 180 : It further appears from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that Mr. Nagardas Parekh took him and other police officers to the drawing cum dining room of the particular flat and that a panty in torn condition with stains of blood and other articles were found on the left hand side near the entrance to the particular drawing cum dining room. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, Know nothing in this matter.

Q. 181 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that some hairs were also found on the floor of the particular drawing cum dining room and latch cum lock in broken condition was also found on the floor inside the said room. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 182 : It transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that one screw of unknown metal and one broken screw of unknown metal were also found there. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 183 : It also transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that requisitions were made under the orders of the Officer in charge of Bhawanipore P.S. for the Dog Squad, Photographers and superior authorities. What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 112

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter. After seeing the cinema. I went home.

Q. 184 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 12 that he held inquest over the deadbody of deceased Hetal Parekh in presence of witnesses. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 185 : It is further evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that he searched the generator room where you used to live but he did not find you or any of your belongings there. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I do not used to leave ^{live} in Generator room. After duty I used to reside at Manorama School. From there I went to home on leave. Generator room is unfit for leaving. It is 15' length and 5' wide. There is a generator about 7/8 feet long and 4 feet wide and there area 6 machine for lifting water. Those machine are running 24-hours.

Q. 186 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W. 29 and the document marked ext. 35 that he sent some articles as described in ext. 35 for chemical examination. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 187 : It is also evident from the testimony of P.W. 29 and the document marked ext. 36 (collectively) that he received the report of the chemical expert in 9 sheets as marked ext. 36(collectively). What you have to say ?

Orl.P.No. 112 contd. & 113

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 188 : It is evident from the document marked ext. 36 (collectively) that blood was detected in the stains on each of the exhibits marked A (cradle), B(latch-lock) and W(Brassier, Ganji and Chaddar). What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter. Complitley innocent.

Q. 189 : It is also evident from the document marked ext. 36 (collectively) that no poison could be detected either in the viscera or in the blood said to be of deceased Hetal Parekh. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 190 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.1 Shantanu Basu and the document marked ext. 1 that the particualar witness prepared the rough sketch map and plan marked ext.1 of premises no. 57 A and B, Padmapukur Road, on receiving a requisition from Bhawanipore P.S. in connection with this case. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 191 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked ext. 2 that the final sketchmap marked ext. 2 was prepared on the basis of the document marked ext.1. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 192 : It also appears from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document

Orl.P.No. 113 contd. & 114

document marked ext. 3 that ext. 3 is a blue print of ext. 2 prepared by mechanical process.

What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 193 : It is also evident from the testimony of this witness that flat no. 3A in the 3rd floor of premises no. 57A and B, Padmapukur Road, consisted of three rooms, one dining space, two bath-rooms, a balcony and a kitchen and the same have been identified in the map marked Ext.3.

What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 194 : It further transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that the balcony of the said flat faces east-ward. What you have to say ?

Ans. I could not remember, It is above the place where we the Security guard sit.

Q. 195 : It is evident from the testimony of P.W.2 Md. Siddique Alam and material ext. I (collectively) that he took photographs of flat no. 3A of premises no. 57A and B, Padmapukur Road on 5.3.90 on receiving a telephonic message from the Officer in charge, Control Room. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 196 : It is also evident from the testimony of the particular witness and the document marked material ext.I

245

Orl.P.No. 114

Ext. I (collectively) that material Ext. I (collective -ly) are 13 prints of the 13 snaps taken by the particular witness. What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter. On completing my duty at 2-00 I went to cinema after taking some rest at Monorama School and thereafter went home. I know nothing in this matter. Police implored me in a got up case.

Q. 197 : It further transpires from the testimony of the said witness that the negatives of the aforesaid prints have been marked as material ext. II (collectively). What you have to say ?

Ans. Sir, I know nothing in this matter.

Q. 198 : It also transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness that the prints marked material ext. I (collectively) had been prepared on the basis of the negatives marked material ext. II (collectively). What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. ~~Innocent~~ Innocent.

Q. 199 : It also transpires from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked material Ext. III that on 15.3.90 the particular witness took another snap of the drawing room of flat no. 3A of premises no. 57A and B, Padmapukur Road and material ext. III is the print of the said photograph. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter.

Orl.P.No. 114 contd. & 115

Q. 200 : It is also evident from the testimony of the aforesaid witness and the document marked material Ext.I (collectively) that material ext.I (collectively) relates to some photographs taken from outside of premises n., 57A and B padmapukur Road in which the particular flat no. 3A is located. What you have to say ?

Ans. I know nothing in this matter. I am innocent.

Q. 201 : Will you examine any witness in your defence ?

Ans. No, Sir. I am innocent.

✓ Q. 202 : Have you got anything else to say ?

Ans. Sir, I am innocent. I know nothing about this case. Police lifted me from my house and ^{implicated} implicated me in a ~~fa~~ false case. I am completely innocent.

Sd/- Dhananjoy Chatterjee
29.5.91

Sd/- R.N.Kali
Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s)
29.5.91

The above examination was taken in my presence and hearing, and contain a full and true account of the statement made by the accused.

Sd/- R.N.Kali

Addl. Dist. Judge
2nd Court, Alipore (s) 14.5.91.